

CHICAGO, IL 606016780

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. DIETER PELZ 202531 6319 09/402,721 12/28/1999 EXAMINER 7590 12/09/2003 SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 180 NORTH STETSON **SUITE 4900** 1761

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/402,721	PELZ ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq.	1761
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09/18</u>	<u>0/03</u> .	
·		action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-18,20-22,24-33 and 36-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-18,20-22,24-33 and 36-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.			
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite because the scope of the phrase "about" is unknown. See comments below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-15, 18, 20-22, 27, 28, 31, 33 and 37-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuji in view of applicants' admissions for the reason set forth in the last Office action.

Claims 16-17, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuji in view of applicants' admissions and in further view of (pages 1-3 of instant application)

Art Unit: 1761

and in further view of Ebara (JP Pat. No. 52122281) for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Claims 26, 29, 30, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuji in view of applicants' admissions and in further view of Bolay *et al.* (Jnl. of Colloid and Interface Sci.)(hereinafter "Bolay") instant application)(hereinafter Bolay) for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 09/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the one of ordinary skill in the art reading the present application has a knowledge of enzymes and an understanding of the crystalline soluble cellulase activity ratio. Further, they state that the instant application contains scores of data points and that these provide scope to the term "about." With respect to said ratio, the term about is limited to those values found in the tables of the specification.

With respect to any claims reciting a pore size in combination with the term "about," applicant has provided no guidance and therefore those claims remain rejected.

With regard to the prior art rejections, applicants argue that the prior art does not state that other enzymes are excluded. It appears that applicants are requiring the prior art to not only teach how to perform a process, but also to discloses every possible way not to perform the process. When the prior art states that cellulase can be used by itself, those of ordinary skill in the art would take this as meaning that only cellulase is used.

Art Unit: 1761

Applicants are correct in asserting that their admissions do not anticipate the claimed invention. In response to applicants' arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

It is again noted that the crystalline: soluble cellulase activity ratio limitation is well known. Again, applicants failed to seasonably traverse this statement.

Ebara was not cited to teach the sole use of cellulase. Further, it is considered that those in the filtration cleaning art would look to any industry that cleans filters. If those in the brewing art had the Ebara reference in front of them they would apply the teaching.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. Primary Examiner